

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/590,117

Applicant(s)

VESEL ET AL.

Examiner

JEFF NATALINI

Art Unit

2831

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeff Natalini.

(3) _____.

(2) Stanislav Antolin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 August 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A phone call was received by Mr. Stanislav Antolin on August 14, 2008, who correctly stated that the Examiner missed a preliminary amendment was filed on 8/18/06 with the filing of the application to correct some of the formal matters that were objected to in the office action of 6/20/08. He asked if the Examiner could send out a new action, to make the record clear.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.